

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,933	07/14/2003		Marcos Karnezos	CPAC 1041-2 6636	
22470	7590	09/30/2004		EXAMINER	
HAYNES B P O BOX 360	EFFEL & W	CHAMBLISS, ALONZO			
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,,			2814	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,933	KARNEZOS, MARCOS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 56-58 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> </ul>						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
<ul> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or example.</li> </ul>	Jostian requirement					
o/23 Claim(s) 1-00 are subject to restriction and/or e	section requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tei. stent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of multi-package module:

- A1. A multi-package module with a memory package stacked adjacent or partly to one side of the processor.
- A2. A multi-package module with a memory package stacked over a portion of the processor.

Further, applicant must further elect from the species of type of processors:

- B1. A processor comprising a CPU.
- B2. A processor comprising a GPU.
- B3. A processor comprising a ASIC

Further, applicant must further elect from the species of type of first package:

- C1. A first package that is a BGA package.
- C2. A first package that is a LGA package.

If applicant elects C2, applicant must further elect from the species LGA package:

- D1. A LGA package with spacers.
- D2. A LGA package without spacers.
- D3. A LGA package with an upper LGA package inverted.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,933

Art Unit: 2814

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 8, 11,15-21, 29, 30, 36, and 39-45,.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2814

## **Conclusion**

2. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (571) 272-1927.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956.

AC/September 27, 2004

Alonzo Chambliss

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 2814